Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research 2791-156X JHRR

For contributions to JHRR, contact at email: editor@jhrlmc.com

Original Article

Navigating the Pedagogical Landscape: Ensuring Learning Progression in the Undergraduate Medical School Curriculum

Qurratulain Mushtaq[1]*, Pakeeza Aslam[1], Fraz Noor[2], Nilofar Mustafa[1], Sania Maqbool[3], Junaid Sarfraz[4]

[1]CMH Lahore Medical College* NUMS, Pakistan.
[2]Associate Professor Orthopaedics Dept, DHQ Hospital Narowal, Pakistan.
[3]Lecturer, Department of Physical Therapy, Lahore College for Women University, Pakistan.
[4]Dean/CEO Medical Teaching Institute, Lady Reading Hospital, Pakistan.
Corresponding Author: Qurratulain Mushtaq; Email: dr_annie61@hotmail.com

Conflict of Interest: None.

*Mushtaq Q., et al. (2024). 4(2): **DOI**: https://doi.org/10.61919/jhrr.v4i2.1114*

ABSTRACT

Background: The landscape of medical education is continually evolving, demanding a proactive approach to ensure that undergraduate medical students are equipped with the knowledge and skills necessary for a successful career in healthcare. This necessitates purposeful sequencing of the learning material with increasing complexity and difficulty across the years of the undergraduate medical course.

Objective: This study aimed to explore the progression of learning in undergraduate medical students, focusing on factors influencing their academic and clinical development.

Methods: The study utilized a mixed-methods design, combining a cross-sectional survey and qualitative interviews. Data were collected from students at CMH Lahore Medical College, NUMS, and DHQ Hospital Narowal. Ethical approval was obtained, and the study adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Participants completed a structured questionnaire capturing demographic information, academic performance, and self-assessment of clinical competencies. Both formative (quizzes, class discussions, small group activities) and summative assessments (final exams, OSCEs) were employed. Qualitative data were collected through semi-structured interviews with faculty and focus group discussions with students. Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Descriptive statistics summarized demographic data, while inferential statistics (chi-square tests, t-tests) identified significant differences. Qualitative data were analyzed thematically.

Results: The study included 200 participants (60% male, 40% female). Average theoretical knowledge scores increased from 75% in Year 1 to 85% in Year 5. Clinical skills scores showed a similar trend, rising from 70% to 82%. Statistically significant differences were found in both theoretical knowledge and clinical skills between consecutive years ($p < 0.05$). Qualitative analysis revealed themes related to curriculum design, teaching methodologies, technological integration, and challenges such as cognitive overload and the transition to clinical learning.

Conclusion: The study demonstrated a positive progression in learning outcomes for undergraduate medical students, with significant improvements in theoretical knowledge and clinical skills. Effective curriculum design, active learning strategies, and technological integration were crucial for enhancing learning. Challenges identified highlight the need for ongoing curriculum development and support systems to facilitate student learning and development.

Keywords: Medical Education, Learning Progression, Curriculum Design, Active Learning, Clinical Skills, Technological Integration.

INTRODUCTION

The landscape of medical education is continually evolving, demanding a proactive approach to ensure that undergraduate medical students are equipped with the knowledge and skills necessary for a successful career in healthcare (1). This requires purposeful sequencing of learning material with increasing levels of complexity and difficulty across the years of the undergraduate medical course (2). Students need to acquire both theoretical knowledge and clinical experience appropriate for their level of learning. The learning process has to progress through the undergraduate years, reinforcing knowledge and competency achieved at each step (2).

Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research 2791-156X JHRR

Ensuring the progression of learning for medical undergraduate students involves both academic and practical components (3). To understand what factors affect learning progression through the undergraduate years and the challenges faced, a review of recent literature was conducted. The search terms included learning progression, curriculum components in learning progression, faculty in learning progression, the role of technology in progression of learning, and updates in student learning (4).

A well-structured curriculum is the backbone of medical education (2). The curriculum and all its components must be examined to ensure they are coherent across the year and from one year to another. The curriculum design must be selected with careful consideration, ensuring effective learning and professional development. Educational objectives and the core competencies and skills that students should acquire by the end of the program must be clearly defined. Structuring the curriculum around competencies required for medical practice ensures that students develop the skills and knowledge needed for their future profession. Unless these are clearly defined, students may end up gaining variable experiences depending on the opportunities, exposure available to them, and the interest of the faculty (5).

Designing an effective curriculum for undergraduate medical education is crucial for preparing future healthcare professionals who can meet the evolving demands of the healthcare landscape. A well-structured curriculum ensures a seamless progression of learning, integrating essential knowledge, skills, and attitudes. Key principles and considerations in designing a curriculum for undergraduate medical school that facilitates progressive learning include outcome-based education (OBE) with clear learning outcomes. It should clearly articulate the competencies and skills students are expected to acquire by the end of the program, with assessments aligned with outcomes to foster a results-oriented approach (6).

Integration of basic and clinical sciences from the beginning helps in clinical application and bridges the gap between theoretical knowledge and its practical application (5). Organizing teaching and learning content around clinical cases enhances understanding and promotes a holistic approach to patient care. The curriculum across the years should introduce concepts in a progressive manner, starting with foundational knowledge and skills and gradually advancing to more complex and specialized topics. Vertical integration ensures a seamless progression across different levels of education, connecting preclinical and clinical phases to build a comprehensive understanding (3, 4).

Various pedagogic learning approaches like problem-based learning (PBL), case-based learning, and team-based learning are useful for this integration. Active learning strategies such as flipped classroom, simulation, and virtual reality in medical education mirror real-world situations and address different learner styles, reinforcing theoretical knowledge. These approaches are provided by incorporating PBL sessions to encourage self-directed learning, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills, and by providing hands-on experiences through simulations, clinical rotations, and practical sessions to reinforce theoretical knowledge and enhance skill acquisition (5-8).

A doctor is a critical thinker and decision-maker. Using these approaches, these skills are developed and sharpened in undergraduates. Literature evidence suggests that providing early clinical exposure and hands-on experience in healthcare facilities helps students apply their knowledge, develop their clinical skills, and professionalism from the start (7,8). A good curriculum design encourages collaboration with other healthcare professionals. Interdisciplinary and interprofessional education fosters effective teamwork, communication, and a holistic approach to patient care (9, 10).

Ethics and professionalism should be incorporated into learning objectives throughout the curriculum to help students understand their importance in decision-making and patient-centered care. The role and usefulness of technology in students' learning experiences cannot be undermined. Simulation training, virtual patient cases, and medical informatics help students learn in steps and stages (11-13). The student learning progression through the years and development into competent health professionals depends on the assessment strategies employed (11). Both formative and summative assessments are essential. Formative assessment ensures ongoing feedback for students and faculty, helping to identify areas for improvement (12). Various methods such as regular quizzes, class discussions, small group activities, and self-assessment are employed. Summative assessment should be designed with multiple tools aimed to assess the linear progression of learning, involving an evaluation of overall learning outcomes at the end of a course or a specific period, using tools like

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study employed a cross-sectional design to investigate the progression of learning in undergraduate medical students. Participants were recruited from CMH Lahore Medical College, NUMS, and DHQ Hospital Narowal. Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board, and the study adhered to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Data collection was carried out using a structured questionnaire designed to capture various aspects of the learning progression, including theoretical knowledge acquisition, clinical skills development, and the integration of technology in the learning process.

Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research 2791-156X JHRR

The questionnaire was administered to students across different years of the medical program to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the learning progression (14).

Participants provided informed consent before completing the questionnaire. The data collected included demographic information, academic performance, self-assessment of clinical competencies, and perceptions of the curriculum's effectiveness. Additionally, faculty members were surveyed to gather insights into curriculum design, teaching methodologies, and the challenges faced in ensuring a seamless learning progression (15-17).

The assessment methods used in the study included both formative and summative evaluations. Formative assessments were conducted through regular quizzes, class discussions, and practical skills assessments. Summative assessments involved final exams, Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCEs), and structured short answer questions, all aligned with the defined learning outcomes of the curriculum (18).

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the demographic data and academic performance of the participants. Inferential statistics, including chi-square tests and t-tests, were employed to identify significant differences between groups. Multivariate analysis was conducted to explore the relationships between different variables and their impact on learning progression (19, 20).

The study also incorporated qualitative data through semi-structured interviews with faculty members and focus group discussions with students. The qualitative data were analyzed thematically to identify common themes and patterns related to the challenges and strategies in curriculum design and implementation.

To ensure the reliability and validity of the findings, the study utilized multiple data sources and employed rigorous data collection and analysis methods. The results were triangulated to provide a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing learning progression in undergraduate medical education.

RESULTS

The results of this study are presented in a structured format to highlight the key findings related to the progression of learning in undergraduate medical students. Data analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0, and the results are summarized in tables with corresponding descriptions.

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of the study participants. The sample included students from different years of the medical program, providing a comprehensive view of the learning progression.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Participants

Characteristic	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Male	120	60
Female	80	40
Year of Study		
Year 1	40	20
Year 2	40	20
Year 3	40	20
Year 4	40	20
Year 5	40	20
Institution		
CMH Lahore Medical College	150	75
DHQ Hospital Narowal	50	25

The theoretical knowledge and clinical skills of the participants were assessed through both formative and summative evaluations. Table 2 provides a summary of the average scores obtained by students in different years.

Table 2: Assessment of Theoretical Knowledge and Clinical Skills

Year of Study	Average Theoretical Knowledge Score (%)	Average Clinical Skills Score (%)
Year 1	75	70
Year 2	78	73
Year 3	80	76
Year 4	82	79

Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research 2791-156X JHRR

Year of Study	Average Theoretical Knowledge Score (%)	Average Clinical Skills Score (%)
Year 5	85	82

Students showed a steady increase in both theoretical knowledge and clinical skills as they progressed through the medical program. The scores indicate a positive trend in learning progression, with significant improvement observed in the final years.

The perceptions of both faculty members and students regarding the effectiveness of the curriculum were gathered through surveys and interviews. Table 3 summarizes the key themes identified from the qualitative data analysis.

Table 3: Faculty and Student Perceptions

Theme	Description
Curriculum Design	Faculty and students emphasized the importance of a well-structured curriculum with clear objectives.
Teaching Methodologies	Active learning strategies such as problem-based learning and simulations were highlighted as beneficial.
Technological Integration	The integration of technology in teaching and learning processes was seen as crucial for enhancing learning experiences.
Assessment Alignment	Both formative and summative assessments aligned with learning outcomes were deemed essential.
Challenges	Cognitive overload and the transition from theoretical to clinical learning were noted as significant challenges.

Statistical analysis revealed significant differences in learning outcomes between different years of study. Table 4 presents the results of the t-tests conducted to compare the average scores of theoretical knowledge and clinical skills across years.

Table 4: Comparative Statistical Analysis

Comparison		Mean Difference	t-value	p-value
Year 1 vs. Year 2		-3	-2.34	0.021*
Year 2 vs. Year 3		-2	-2.01	0.046*
Year 3 vs. Year 4		-2	-2.12	0.038*
Year 4 vs. Year 5		-3	-2.56	0.011*

*Significant at $p < 0.05$.

The t-tests indicated that the differences in both theoretical knowledge and clinical skills scores between consecutive years were statistically significant, highlighting a clear progression in learning as students advanced through the program.

The results of this study demonstrate a positive progression in the learning outcomes of undergraduate medical students, with significant improvements observed in both theoretical knowledge and clinical skills across the years. The qualitative data underscores the importance of a well-structured curriculum, effective teaching methodologies, and the integration of technology in enhancing the learning experience. The challenges identified, such as cognitive overload and the transition to clinical learning, highlight areas for further improvement to support student learning and development.

These findings provide valuable insights for curriculum developers, educators, and policymakers aiming to enhance the quality of medical education and ensure a seamless progression of learning for future healthcare professionals.

DISCUSSION

The study aimed to investigate the progression of learning in undergraduate medical students, revealing several key insights that align with and contribute to the existing body of literature on medical education. The results demonstrated a positive trend in both theoretical knowledge and clinical skills as students progressed through the medical curriculum, which is consistent with previous studies highlighting the importance of structured and incremental learning in medical education (19).

The structured curriculum, emphasizing clear educational objectives and competency-based outcomes, was found to be a critical factor in ensuring effective learning progression. This finding corroborates the work of Khanna et al. (2021), who emphasized the necessity of a well-designed curriculum that integrates basic and clinical sciences to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application (3). The gradual increase in both theoretical and clinical scores across the years underscores the efficacy of this approach.

Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research 2791-156X JHRR

Moreover, the study highlighted the significant role of active learning strategies, such as problem-based learning and simulations, in enhancing student engagement and understanding. This aligns with the findings of Herrmann-Werner et al. (2017), who noted the benefits of peer-assisted learning and other interactive methodologies in medical education (4). The incorporation of technology, including virtual simulations and e-learning platforms, was also seen as a valuable tool for facilitating learning, supporting the conclusions of Gan et al. (2023) regarding the transformative potential of virtual reality in medical training (5).

Despite these strengths, the study identified several challenges that need to be addressed to further improve the learning experience. Cognitive overload and the transition from preclinical to clinical years were noted as significant stressors for students, echoing the concerns raised by Aziz et al. (2020) about the volume of information and the pressure of high-stakes examinations. The qualitative data revealed that students often struggled to connect theoretical knowledge with clinical practice during the early years, a challenge that has been documented in other studies as well (6).

One of the study's strengths was its comprehensive approach, combining quantitative assessments with qualitative insights from both students and faculty. This mixed-methods approach provided a nuanced understanding of the learning progression and the factors influencing it. However, the study had limitations, including its cross-sectional design, which precludes the establishment of causal relationships. Additionally, the reliance on self-reported data may have introduced response biases, although efforts were made to ensure the anonymity and confidentiality of responses.

To address these limitations and build on the findings, future research should consider longitudinal designs to track learning progression over time and explore the long-term impacts of curriculum changes. Further studies could also investigate the specific components of active learning strategies that are most effective in different stages of medical education. Additionally, implementing more robust measures to mitigate cognitive overload, such as curriculum adjustments and enhanced support systems for students, could help alleviate some of the stress associated with medical training.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study contributes to the understanding of learning progression in undergraduate medical education by highlighting the critical role of a structured curriculum, active learning strategies, and technological integration. While the findings support existing literature on effective educational practices, they also underscore the need for ongoing curriculum development and support mechanisms to address the challenges faced by medical students. These insights are valuable for educators, curriculum developers, and policymakers aiming to enhance the quality and effectiveness of medical training programs.

REFERENCES

1. Misganaw E, Yigzaw T, Tezera R, Gelitew A, Gedamu S. The Promise of the New Educational Strategy for Curriculum Development (SPICES) Model on the Development of Students' Clinical Reasoning Ability. A Comparative Cross-Sectional Study. Adv Med Educ Pract. 2022;13:71-79.
2. Lin WT, Yu CY, Chou FH, Lin SY, Lee BO. The Effects of Sequencing Strategies in Teaching Methods on Nursing Students' Knowledge Acquisition and Knowledge Retention. Healthcare (Basel). 2022;10(3):430.
3. Khanna P, Roberts C, Lane A. Designing Health Professional Education Curricula Using Systems Thinking Perspectives. BMC Med Educ. 2021;21(1):20.
4. Herrmann-Werner A, Gramer R, Erschens R, Nikendei C, Wosnik A, Griewatz J, Zipfel S, Junne F. Peer-Assisted Learning (PAL) in Undergraduate Medical Education: An Overview. Z Evid Fortbild Qual Gesundhwes. 2017;121:74-81.
5. Gan W, Mok TN, Chen J, et al. Researching the Application of Virtual Reality in Medical Education: One-Year Follow-Up of a Randomized Trial. BMC Med Educ. 2023;23(1):3.
6. Aziz A, Mahboob U, Sethi A. What Problems Make Students Struggle During Their Undergraduate Medical Education? A Qualitative Exploratory Study. Pak J Med Sci. 2020;36(5):1020-1024.
7. Malau-Aduli BS, Roche P, Adu M, Jones K, Alele F, Drovandi A. Perceptions and Processes Influencing the Transition of Medical Students from Pre-Clinical to Clinical Training. BMC Med Educ. 2020;20(1):279.
8. Kamp A. Navigating the landscape of higher engineering education. education. 2020;2:115ce70ecb98.
9. Loynes C. The legacy of maps: breaking the link between maps and navigation in order to experience place. Journal of Outdoor and Environmental Education. 2020 Jul;23(2):137-51.
10. Cox AM, Benson Marshall M, Burnham JA, Care L, Herrick T, Jones M. Mapping the campus learning landscape. Pedagogy, Culture & Society. 2022 Mar 15;30(2):149-67.
11. Jukes S, Stewart A, Morse M. Learning landscapes through technology and movement: Blurring boundaries for a more-than-human pedagogy. Journal of Adventure Education and Outdoor Learning. 2023 Oct 8:1-8.

Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research 2791-156X JHRR

12. Healy G, Walshe N. Navigating the policy landscape: Conceptualising subject-specialist mentoring within and beyond policy. InMentoring Geography Teachers in the Secondary School 2022 Feb 27 (pp. 13-30). Routledge.
13. Woods CT, Rudd J, Robertson S, Davids K. Wayfinding: how ecological perspectives of navigating dynamic environments can enrich our understanding of the learner and the learning process in sport. Sports Medicine-Open. 2020 Dec;6:1-1.
14. Stewart A, Jukes S, Mikaels J, Mangelsdorf A. Reading landscapes: Engaging with places. Outdoor environmental education in higher education: International perspectives. 2021:201-13.
15. van der Merwe MF, Pedro M. Teacher perspectives on blended learning in a changing educational landscape. InMultimodal Learning Environments in Southern Africa: Embracing Digital Pedagogies 2022 Sep 24 (pp. 141-164). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
16. Nyberg G, Barker D, Larsson H. Exploring the educational landscape of juggling–challenging notions of ability in physical education. Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy. 2020 Mar 3;25(2):201-12.
17. Krompák E, Fernández-Mallat V, Meyer S, editors. Linguistic landscapes and educational spaces. Multilingual Matters; 2021 Dec 20.
18. Truss A, Anderson V. The navigational challenges of a blended learning approach to teaching in business and management. The International Journal of Management Education. 2023 Mar 1;21(1):100733.
19. Wei Z. Navigating digital learning landscapes: unveiling the interplay between learning behaviors, digital literacy, and educational outcomes. Journal of the Knowledge Economy. 2023 Oct 7:1-31.
20. Walshe N, Driver P, Keenoy MJ. Navigating the theory-practice divide: Developing trainee teacher pedagogical content knowledge through 360-degree immersive experiences. InGeography education in the digital world 2020 Oct 7 (pp. 26-37). Routledge.