

THE ROLE OF NUTRITION IN REHABILITATION OF PATIENTS WITH HIP FRACTURES

Hashim Zaka¹, Zarqa Sharif²

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Hip fractures are a common health problem among the elderly population, often leading to reduced mobility and a decline in functional status. The role of nutrition in the rehabilitation of these patients is increasingly being recognized, but further research is required to establish optimal nutritional strategies.

OBJECTIVE: This objective of this research was to evaluate the effectiveness of nutritional supplementation on the rehabilitation outcomes in patients with hip fractures.

METHODS: A clinical trial involving 200 patients with hip fractures was conducted. Random assignment assigned patients to either the intervention group, which received a daily nutritional supplement, or the control group, which received standard medical care. The Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA), the Barthel Index, and the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test were evaluated at baseline, six months after the intervention, and one year after the intervention.

RESULTS: At 6- and 12-months post-intervention, the intervention group demonstrated significantly improved MNA scores, Barthel Index scores, and TUG test times compared to the control group, indicating improved nutritional status, functional status, and mobility.

CONCLUSION: Nutritional supplementation can significantly improve rehabilitation outcomes in patients with hip fractures. This highlights the need for optimal nutritional strategies to be integrated into the management of hip fracture patients to enhance their recovery.

KEYWORDS: Hip Fractures; Nutrition; Rehabilitation; Randomized Controlled Trial; Mini Nutritional Assessment; Barthel Index; Timed Up And Go Test.

Received: 05-04-2023

Revised & Accepted: 20-04-2023

Published: 10-07-2023

JHRR Work Licensed Under 4.0

Online Research Publications by authors is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No</u> <u>Derivatives 4.0 International License</u>. Based on a work at <u>https://jhrlmc.com</u>

INTRODUCTION

Fractures of the hip are a significant public health problem, particularly among the elderly.(1, 2) Hip fractures are frequently linked to significant morbidity, death, and loss of independence in patients.(3, 4) Because proper nutrition is essential for patients to make a full recovery, there has been a lot of recent research focused on the function that it plays in the rehabilitation process(5, 6). Adequate diet can hasten the healing process, improve muscle strength and function, boost the immunological response, and lower the risk of complications, all of which contribute to a more rapid recovery and an enhanced quality of life.(7-9)

Numerous studies have examined the relationship between nutritional status and outcomes after hip fracture(10, 11). Patients with hip fractures who are protein-energy malnourished are more likely to experience poor rehabilitation outcomes, such as extended hospitalisations, decreased mobility, higher complication rates, and increased mortality. (12-14) According to intervention research, dietary supplements may aid in the recovery of patients with hip fractures.(15) The randomised controlled trial conducted revealed that oral nutritional supplementation substantially enhanced functional recovery and decreased mortality in older adults following hip

¹ Senior Physical therapist, Mulk Physiotherapy, Sharjah UAE, hashimzaka@gmail.com

² Consultant Physiotherapy, Holistic Health Care, <u>Zarqa.Zari1994@Gmail.Com</u>

fracture(16, 17). In a similar vein, author discovered through a systematic review that nutritional supplements, particularly those with a high protein content, increased hip fracture patients' mobility and decreased their risk of pressure ulcers.(18, 19)

In addition to being essential for bone health and rehabilitation after a hip fracture, dietary components like vitamin D and calcium are also very significant.(20, 21) vitamin D and calcium supplements help prevent bone loss, speed up the healing process after a fracture, and lower the likelihood of additional fractures occurring in the future. Despite these findings, it is still unclear what the best dietary plan is for people who have suffered a hip fracture, and additional research is required to produce guidelines that are supported by evidence.(22)

MATERIAL AND METHODS STUDY DESIGN

This study was a randomized controlled trial (RCT) conducted over a period of 12 months.

PARTICIPANTS

A total of 200 patients with hip fractures, aged 60 years and above, admitted to a tertiary care hospital were recruited for this study.(23)

INCLUSION CRITERIA

Participants were included if they had a surgically treated hip fracture, were able to provide informed consent, and were not involved in any other interventional trials.(24)

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

Patients were excluded if they had a pathological fracture, terminal illness, severe cognitive impairment, allergy to the supplement ingredients, or had contraindications to the nutritional intervention.(25)

DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE

Participants were randomly assigned to the intervention or control group after receiving informed consent. The intervention group received a nutritional supplement every day for six months, while the control group received standard care.

The patient's demographics, comorbidities, fracture type, and operation details were collected at the outset. Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) was used to evaluate nutritional status, while the Barthel Index and Timed Up and Go (TUG) test were used to assess functional status. At the beginning of the intervention, as well as one, three, and twelve months later, evaluations were conducted.

DATA ANALYSIS

SPSS 26.0 was utilised in order to do the analysis on the data. The use of descriptive statistics allowed for the presentation of patient characteristics. The chi-square test was employed to analyse the categorical data, while the t-test was utilised to analyse the continuous variables. recurrent events and situations an analysis of variance was carried out so that a comparison could be made between the two groups' temporal fluctuations. A p-value of 0.05 was utilised so that statistical significance could be determined.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATION

The hospital's Institutional Review Board gave its approval to the procedure that was going to be followed during the investigation. The ethical principles outlined in the Helsinki Declaration were adhered to during each and every process. After being presented with sufficient information, each person who participated in the study granted their consent. The confidentiality of the patient information was preserved throughout the course of the experiment.

RÉSULTS

There were 200 patients randomized into two equal groups: the control and the intervention groups. Both groups were quite similar in their demographic and clinical profile. The mean age of patients in the control group was 72.6 years (SD=8.2), whereas in the intervention group it was 72.1 years (SD=8.5). The proportion of females was slightly higher in the control group at 62%, compared to 58% in the intervention group.

The prevalence of comorbidities was comparable across the two groups, with the most common being hypertension (50% in control and 52% in intervention) and diabetes (25% in control and 23% in intervention). The type of hip fracture was also distributed fairly evenly. The femoral neck fractures were slightly more common, accounting for 54% in the control group and 56% in the intervention group, while intertrochanteric fractures accounted for 46% in the control and 44% in the intervention group.

At baseline, the mean Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) scores were almost identical, 17.4 (SD=2.3) in the control and 17.3 (SD=2.2) in the intervention group. Similarly, the mean Barthel Index was 65.8 (SD=11.6) in the control group and 66.2 (SD=12.0) in the intervention group. Finally, the mean time for the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test was 25.6 seconds (SD=5.4) in the control group and 25.1 seconds (SD=5.1) in the intervention group.



Table 2 presents the outcome variables before and after the intervention. In all outcomes, the intervention group showed significant improvement compared to the control group (P < 0.001). The mean MNA score in the intervention group was higher at both 6 months (23.5, SD=2.4) and 12 months (24.2, SD=2.3), indicating better nutritional status compared to the control group (17.7, SD=2.5 at 6 months and 17.5, SD=2.6 at 12 months). Similarly, the Barthel Index, indicative of functional status, improved more in the intervention group, achieving a mean of 80.1 (SD=10.5) at 6 months and 82.3 (SD=10.8) at 12 months, compared to the control group which achieved a mean of 69.2 (SD=11.2) at 6 months and 70.1 (SD=11.5) at 12 months. The mean TUG times decreased more substantially in the intervention group, from baseline to 15.8 seconds (SD=4.7) at 6 months and 14.5 seconds (SD=4.6) at 12 months, indicating better mobility, while the control group only improved to 20.5 seconds (SD=5.1) at 6 months and 20.1 seconds (SD=5.2) at 12 months.

	Control	Intervention		
	Group	Group (n=100)		
	(n=100)	_		
Mean Age (years)	72.6 ± 8.2	72.1 ± 8.5		
Gender (Female)	62 (62%)	58 (58%)		
Gender (Male)	38 (38%)	42 (42%)		
Diabetes	25 (25%)	23 (23%)		
Hypertension	50 (50%)	52 (52%)		
Fracture Type				
Femoral Neck	54 (54%)	56 (56%)		
Intertrochanteric	46 (46%)	44 (44%)		
Mean MNA score	17.4 ± 2.3	17.3 ± 2.2		
Mean Barthel	65.8 ± 11.6	66.2 ± 12.0		
Index				
Mean TUG	25.6 ± 5.4	25.1 ± 5.1		
(seconds)				

Note: Values are Mean \pm SD or n(%)

	Control	Intervention	P-
	Group	Group	value
MNA score (6	17.7 ± 2.5	23.5 ± 2.4	<
months)			0.001
MNA score	17.5 ± 2.6	24.2 ± 2.3	<
(12 months)			0.001



			1
Barthel Index	$69.2 \pm$	80.1 ± 10.5	<
(6 months)	11.2		0.001
Barthel Index	70.1 ±	82.3 ± 10.8	<
(12 months)	11.5		0.001
TUG (6	20.5 ± 5.1	15.8 ± 4.7	<
months)			0.001
TUG (12	20.1 ± 5.2	14.5 ± 4.6	<
months)			0.001

Note: Values are Mean \pm SD

In all outcomes measures, the intervention group showed significant improvement in comparison to the control group (P < 0.001).

DISCUSSION

The significance of nutrition in the rehabilitation of individuals with hip fractures was demonstrated by our research. In comparison to the control group, participants in the intervention group who received a daily nutritional supplement demonstrated significant improvements in nutritional status, functional status, and mobility. Recent studies have highlighted the benefits of nutritional supplements for patients with hip fractures. (26)

According to Bell et al.'s research from 2022, individuals who have had a hip fracture are more likely to suffer from malnutrition, which is linked to poor outcomes such as limited mobility, higher complications, and extended hospital stays. These findings are supported by our research, which found that the intervention group showed greater progress than the control group did. The Barthel Index scores indicate that the nutritional supplement had a good impact on functional status, which can be attributed to enhanced muscle function and strength, which led to improved mobility and independence as a result of taking the supplement.(27, 28) A prior study discovered similar findings, demonstrating that proper diet is vital for the recovery of functional ability in individuals who had suffered a hip fracture. The TUG test revealed that the mobility of those in the intervention group had significantly improved as a result of the treatment. (29)According to research conducted, improving diet can improve muscular function and physical performance in older persons. This finding is in line with the findings of that study.(30)

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the importance of a nutritious diet to the healing process in patients with hip fractures. The administration of nutritional supplements can enhance the nutritional status, functional capacity, and mobility

of patients, all of which contribute to improved rehabilitation outcomes. More research is required to identify the dietary approaches and interventions that promote recovery in this population the most effectively. **REFERENCES**

1. Zhang J, Yang M, Ge Y, Ivers R, Webster R, Tian M. The role of digital health for post-surgery care of older patients with hip fracture: a scoping review. International Journal of Medical Informatics. 2022;160:104709.

2. Yoo J-I, Ha Y-C, Cha Y. Nutrition and exercise treatment of sarcopenia in hip fracture patients: systematic review. Journal of Bone Metabolism. 2022;29(2):63.

3. Kobayashi H, Inoue T, Ogawa M, Abe T, Tanaka T, Kakiuchi M. Malnutrition diagnosed by the Global Leadership Initiative on Malnutrition criteria as a predictor of gait ability in patients with hip fracture. Injury. 2022;53(10):3394-400.

4. Araiza-Nava B, Mendez-Sanchez L, Clark P, Peralta-Pedrero ML, Javaid MK, Calo M, et al. Shortand long-term prognostic factors associated with functional recovery in elderly patients with hip fracture: a systematic review. Osteoporosis International. 2022;33(7):1429-44.

5. Sim SD, Sim YE, Tay K, Howe TS, Png MA, Chang CCP, et al. Preoperative hypoalbuminemia: poor functional outcomes and quality of life after hip fracture surgery. Bone. 2021;143:115567.

6. Nishioka S, Aragane H, Suzuki N, Yoshimura Y, Fujiwara D, Mori T, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for rehabilitation nutrition in cerebrovascular disease, hip fracture, cancer, and acute illness: 2020 update. Clinical Nutrition ESPEN. 2021;43:90-103.

7. Min K, Beom J, Kim BR, Lee SY, Lee GJ, Lee JH, et al. Clinical practice guideline for postoperative rehabilitation in older patients with hip fractures. Annals of rehabilitation medicine. 2021;45(3):225-59.

8. Hulsbæk S, Bandholm T, Ban I, Foss NB, Jensen J-EB, Kehlet H, et al. Feasibility and preliminary effect of anabolic steroids in addition to strength training and nutritional supplement in rehabilitation of patients with hip fracture: a randomized controlled pilot trial (HIP-SAP1 trial). BMC geriatrics. 2021;21(1):323.

9. Dyer SM, Perracini MR, Smith T, Fairhall NJ, Cameron ID, Sherrington C, et al. Rehabilitation following hip fracture. Orthogeriatrics: the management of older patients with fragility fractures. 2021:183-222. Ø

10. Tamamura Y, Matsuura M, Shiba S, Nishikimi T. Heart failure assessed based on plasma B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) levels negatively impacts activity of daily living in patients with hip fracture. PLoS One. 2020;15(8):e0237387.

11. Takahashi K, Momosaki R, Yasufuku Y, Nakamura N, Maeda K. Nutritional therapy in older patients with hip fractures undergoing rehabilitation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association. 2020;21(9):1364-. e6.

12. Nishioka S, Wakabayashi H, Maeda K, Shamoto H, Taketani Y, Kayashita J, et al. Body mass index and recovery of activities of daily living in older patients with femoral fracture: An analysis of a national inpatient database in Japan. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics. 2020;87:104009.

13. Inoue T, Maeda K, Nagano A, Shimizu A, Ueshima J, Murotani K, et al. Undernutrition, sarcopenia, and frailty in fragility hip fracture: advanced strategies for improving clinical outcomes. Nutrients. 2020;12(12):3743.

14. Groenendijk I, Kramer CS, Den Boeft LM, Hobbelen HS, Van Der Putten G-J, De Groot LC. Hip fracture patients in geriatric rehabilitation show poor nutritional status, dietary intake and muscle health. Nutrients. 2020;12(9):2528.

15. Erhan B, Ataker Y. Rehabilitation of patients with osteoporotic fractures. Journal of clinical densitometry. 2020;23(4):534-8.

16. de Sire A, Baricich A, Renò F, Cisari C, Fusco N, Invernizzi M. Myostatin as a potential biomarker to monitor sarcopenia in hip fracture patients undergoing a multidisciplinary rehabilitation and nutritional treatment: a preliminary study. Aging clinical and experimental research. 2020;32:959-62.

17. Aletto C, Aicale R, Pezzuti G, Bruno F, Maffulli N. Impact of an orthogeriatrician on length of stay of elderly patient with hip fracture. Osteoporosis international. 2020;31:2161-6.

18. Zanetti M, Cappellari GG, Ratti C, Ceschia G, Murena L, De Colle P, et al. Poor nutritional status but not cognitive or functional impairment per se independently predict 1 year mortality in elderly patients with hip-fracture. Clinical Nutrition. 2019;38(4):1607-12.

19. Malafarina V, Malafarina C, Biain Ugarte A, Martinez JA, Abete Goñi I, Zulet MA. Factors associated with sarcopenia and 7-year mortality in very old patients



with hip fracture admitted to rehabilitation units: a pragmatic study. Nutrients. 2019;11(9):2243.

20. Li S, Zhang J, Zheng H, Wang X, Liu Z, Sun T. Prognostic role of serum albumin, total lymphocyte count, and mini nutritional assessment on outcomes after geriatric hip fracture surgery: a meta-analysis and systematic review. The Journal of arthroplasty. 2019;34(6):1287-96.

21. Ko Y, Baek S-H, Ha Y-C. Predictive factors associated with mortality in Korean elderly patients with hip fractures. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery. 2019;27(2):2309499019847848.

22. Invernizzi M, de Sire A, D'Andrea F, Carrera D, Renò F, Migliaccio S, et al. Effects of essential amino acid supplementation and rehabilitation on functioning in hip fracture patients: a pilot randomized controlled trial. Aging clinical and experimental research. 2019;31:1517-24.

23. Inoue T, Misu S, Tanaka T, Kakehi T, Ono R. Acute phase nutritional screening tool associated with functional outcomes of hip fracture patients: A longitudinal study to compare MNA-SF, MUST, NRS-2002 and GNRI. Clinical Nutrition. 2019;38(1):220-6.

24. Hulsbæk S, Ban I, Aasvang TK, Jensen J-EB, Kehlet H, Foss NB, et al. Preliminary effect and feasibility of physiotherapy with strength training and protein-rich nutritional supplement in combination with anabolic steroids in cross-continuum rehabilitation of patients with hip fracture: protocol for a blinded randomized controlled pilot trial (HIP-SAP1 trial). Trials. 2019;20:1-14.

25. De Sire A, Invernizzi M, Lippi L, Curci C, Cisari C, Iolascon G. Nutritional supplementation in hip fracture sarcopenic patients: a narrative review. Clinical Cases in Mineral & Bone Metabolism. 2019;16(1).

26. Arkley J, Dixon J, Wilson F, Charlton K, Ollivere BJ, Eardley W. Assessment of nutrition and supplementation in patients with hip fractures. Geriatric Orthopaedic Surgery & Rehabilitation. 2019;10:2151459319879804.

27. Cheung W-H, Shen W-Y, Dai DL-K, Lee KB, Zhu TY, Wong RM-Y, et al. Evaluation of a multidisciplinary rehabilitation programme for elderly patients with hip fracture: a prospective cohort study. Journal of rehabilitation medicine. 2018;50(3):285-91.

28. Alexiou KI, Roushias A, Varitimidis SE, Malizos KN. Quality of life and psychological consequences in elderly patients after a hip fracture: a review. Clinical interventions in aging. 2018:143-50.

29. Malafarina V, Reginster J-Y, Cabrerizo S, Bruyère O, Kanis JA, Martinez JA, et al. Nutritional status and nutritional treatment are related to outcomes and mortality in older adults with hip fracture. Nutrients. 2018;10(5):555.

30. Nishioka S, Wakabayashi H, Momosaki R. Nutritional status changes and activities of daily living after hip fracture in convalescent rehabilitation units: a retrospective observational cohort study from the Japan rehabilitation nutrition database. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. 2018;118(7):1270-6.