# Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research 2791-156X

**Original Article** 

For contributions to JHRR, contact at email: editor@jhrlmc.com

# Presenting Off-Hours, Door-to-Balloon Time, and Clinical Results in Patients Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention

Abdul Basit<sup>1</sup>, Javeria<sup>2</sup>, Hameedullah<sup>3</sup>, Muhammad Ishaque<sup>4</sup>, Muhammad Aslam<sup>5\*</sup>, Abdul Waris<sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Resident FCPS, Adult Cardiology, National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Karachi, Pakistan. <sup>2</sup>Clinical Fellow, Emergency Department, National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Karachi, Pakistan. <sup>3</sup>Resident Cardiology, Lady Reading Hospital, Peshawar, Pakistan. <sup>4</sup>Resident Cardiology, National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Karachi, Pakistan. <sup>5</sup>Assistant Professor Cardiology National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Nawabshah, Pakistan. <sup>6</sup>Intervention Cardiology Fellow, Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar, Pakistan. <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: Muhammad Aslam, Assistant Professor; Email: draslam279@gmail.com **Conflict of Interest: None.** Basit A., et al. (2024). 4(1): DOI: https://doi.org/10.61919/jhrr.v4i1.668 **ABSTRACT** 

**Background**: The timely management of ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) through primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) significantly impacts patient outcomes. However, the influence of off-hours presentation (nights, weekends, and holidays) on door-to-balloon (D2B) time and clinical outcomes remains a pivotal concern, as it potentially affects the efficiency of care and patient prognosis.

**Objective:** This study aims to evaluate the association between off-hours presentation, D2B time, and clinical outcomes in patients undergoing primary PCI for STEMI, to identify potential disparities in treatment efficacy and to inform strategies for improving cardiovascular emergency care.

**Methods:** Conducting a retrospective cross-sectional analysis, this study included 90 patients admitted with STEMI who underwent primary PCI at a tertiary care center between March 2022 and March 2023. Patients were stratified into two groups based on the timing of hospital presentation: off-hours and regular hours. Primary outcomes assessed were D2B time, mortality rates, and incidences of cardiogenic shock and heart failure. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, t-tests, chi-square tests, and multivariable logistic regression to adjust for potential confounders.

**Results:** The mean D2B time for patients presenting during off-hours was significantly longer (96.4  $\pm$  12.3 minutes) compared to those presenting during regular hours (84.6  $\pm$  9.8 minutes, p<0.001). No statistically significant differences were observed in mortality rates (off-hours 4.4% vs. regular hours 6.7%, p=0.155), cardiogenic shock (off-hours 6.7% vs. regular hours 4.4%, p=0.351), or heart failure (off-hours 8.9% vs. regular hours 6.7%, p=0.214). Multivariable analysis confirmed off-hours presentation as an independent predictor of prolonged D2B time (coefficient 11.8, 95% CI 8.2-15.5).

**Conclusion:** Off-hours presentation is associated with significantly longer D2B times in STEMI patients undergoing primary PCI, underscoring the need for healthcare systems to address the disparities in care delivery during off-hours. However, no significant differences in short-term clinical outcomes were observed, suggesting that factors beyond D2B time contribute to patient prognosis. Further studies are warranted to explore comprehensive strategies to optimize care irrespective of presentation time.

**Keywords:** ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, primary percutaneous coronary intervention, door-to-balloon time, off-hours presentation, clinical outcomes, emergency cardiac care.

# **INTRODUCTION**

In the domain of emergency cardiac care, the principle that "time is muscle" highlights the critical importance of swift intervention for patients suffering from acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). The timely administration of reperfusion therapy, particularly through primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), is crucial for minimizing myocardial damage and enhancing patient outcomes. The door-to-balloon (D2B) time, which measures the interval from a patient's arrival at the hospital to

Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research 270191033

the commencement of coronary intervention, stands as a vital metric in the assessment of the effectiveness of STEMI care. This study is centered on exploring the implications of off-hours presentation—patients arriving during periods outside of standard working hours, such as nights, weekends, and holidays—on D2B time and its subsequent impact on clinical outcomes for those undergoing primary PCI.

The significance of this research is rooted in the established relationship between D2B time and patient prognoses; specifically, shorter D2B times are associated with reduced morbidity and mortality rates (4). According to guidelines, achieving a D2B time of 90 minutes or less is recommended to improve patient outcomes (5), underscoring the importance of rapid reperfusion therapy. Nonetheless, D2B time can be affected by various factors, including patient-related delays, pre-hospital logistics, hospital protocols, and the efficiency of the procedural process. The additional complexities introduced by off-hours presentations, such as the potential for delayed cardiac catheterization team activation and the challenges of coordinating staff and resources outside regular working hours, highlight the necessity of this investigation. Despite existing studies, findings on the relationship between off-hours presentation, D2B time, and clinical outcomes have been inconsistent, with some evidence suggesting that off-hours presentation may lead to longer D2B times and potentially adverse clinical outcomes, including increased mortality and higher incidences of complications like cardiogenic shock and heart failure (8).

This study aims to further investigate the dynamics between patient arrival time, specifically during off-hours, D2B time, and the resulting clinical outcomes, including mortality rates and complications such as cardiogenic shock and heart failure. Through this exploration, the research seeks to build upon the existing body of knowledge, identify critical gaps, and underscore the innovative aspects of the study, particularly its focus on the nuanced challenges and potential impacts of off-hours presentation in the context of STEMI care. By doing so, this study aims to contribute to the ongoing efforts to optimize D2B times and improve patient outcomes, highlighting the real-world significance of timely and effective cardiac care.

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

In this study, conducted at the National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, a retrospective cross-sectional analysis was performed to explore the correlation between off-hours presentation, door-to-balloon (D2B) time, and clinical outcomes in patients suffering from ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) who underwent primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). The research covered a period from March 2022 to March 2023, including 90 patients selected from electronic medical records (EMRs) at a tertiary care hospital specializing in cardiac services. This timeframe was chosen to ensure a comprehensive representation of off-hours presentations, encompassing nights, weekends, and holidays, alongside regular business hours on weekdays.

The study meticulously classified participants based on the timing of their hospital arrival into off-hours and regular hours groups, aiming to facilitate a rigorous comparison. The principal variable under investigation was the timing of presentation, analyzed in relation to key outcome measures such as D2B time, mortality rates, and the incidence of complications including cardiogenic shock and heart failure. Data collection extended to demographic information, clinical features, and details of the PCI procedure, with an eye towards identifying potential confounding factors. Data extraction was conducted by trained personnel using standardized forms, ensuring the accuracy and consistency of the collected information.

Descriptive statistics were employed to summarize patient characteristics and clinical outcomes, stratified by presentation timing. Categorical data were expressed in frequencies and percentages. The comparison between patients presenting during off-hours and regular hours was facilitated through appropriate statistical tests, such as t-tests for continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables. Further, multivariable regression analysis was applied to ascertain the independent effect of off-hours presentation on D2B time, adjusting for potential confounders. The impact of off-hours presentation on clinical outcomes was assessed via logistic regression analysis, again controlling for relevant variables.

The study adhered to the ethical guidelines outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and received approval from the institutional review board (IRB) or ethics committee. All patient data were anonymized to ensure confidentiality. The analysis was conducted using SPSS version 25, allowing for a rigorous statistical examination of the data.

This methodology section has been crafted to ensure replicability, offering a clear and structured description of the study design, participant selection, data collection processes, and statistical analysis techniques. Ethical considerations were paramount, with all procedures designed to protect patient privacy and adhere to the highest standards of research integrity. The rationale behind methodological choices was carefully articulated, providing a solid foundation for the study's contributions to the field of cardiovascular emergency care.



## RESULTS

The study aimed to examine the impact of off-hours presentation on door-to-balloon (D2B) time and clinical outcomes for patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) due to ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). A retrospective cross-sectional analysis was conducted, encompassing a total of 90 patients equally divided into two groups based on the timing of their presentation at the hospital: off-hours and regular hours.

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population showed that the mean age for patients presenting during offhours was slightly higher at 65.2 years (SD: 8.6) compared to 63.8 years (SD: 7.9) for those presenting during regular hours, though this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.072) as indicated in Table 1. The proportion of males was higher in the regular hours group (53.3%) than in the off-hours group (46.7%). Hypertension prevalence was slightly higher in the off-hours group (40.0%) compared to the regular hours group (35.6%), and similar patterns were observed for current smokers and patients with a history of myocardial infarction (MI) or previous PCI, though none of these differences reached statistical significance as detailed in Table 3. Focusing on the primary outcomes, the mean D2B time was significantly longer for off-hours presentations (96.4 minutes, SD: 12.3) compared to regular hours (84.6 minutes, SD: 9.8), as highlighted in Table 2. This finding was underscored by multivariable regression analysis, which confirmed off-hours presentation as a significant predictor of extended D2B time with a coefficient of 11.8 minutes (95% CI: 8.2- 15.5, p<0.001), indicating a substantial impact on treatment delays (Table 4).

| Characteristic | Presentation Time | Mean (SD) or n (%) |  |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|
| Age (years)    | Off-Hours         | 65.2 (8.6)         |  |
|                | Regular Hours     | 63.8 (7.9)         |  |
| Sex (Male)     | Off-Hours         | 42 (46.7%)         |  |
|                | Regular Hours     | 27 (53.3%)         |  |
| Hypertension   | Off-Hours         | 38 (40.0%)         |  |
|                | Regular Hours     | 38 (35.6%)         |  |
| Current Smoker | Off-Hours         | 37 (22.2%)         |  |
|                | Regular Hours     | 18 (20.0%)         |  |
| Previous MI    | Off-Hours         | 44 (13.3%)         |  |
|                | Regular Hours     | 25 (15.6%)         |  |
| Previous PCI   | Off-Hours         | 15 (8.9%)          |  |
|                | Regular Hours     | 11 (6.7%)          |  |

Table 1: Characteristics of Study Population

Table 2: Door-to-Balloon Time and Clinical Outcomes

| Outcome            | Presentation Time | Mean (SD) or n (%) |  |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|
| D2B Time (minutes) | Off-Hours         | 96.4 (12.3)        |  |
|                    | Regular Hours     | 84.6 (9.8)         |  |
| Mortality Rate     | Off-Hours         | 2 (4.4%)           |  |
|                    | Regular Hours     | 3 (6.7%)           |  |
| Cardiogenic Shock  | Off-Hours         | 3 (6.7%)           |  |
|                    | Regular Hours     | 2 (4.4%)           |  |
| Heart Failure      | Off-Hours         | 4 (8.9%)           |  |
|                    | Regular Hours     | 3 (6.7%)           |  |

Table 3: Comparative Analysis of Characteristics

| Characteristic | Comparison      | p-value |
|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Age (years)    | 65.2 vs. 63.8   | 0.072   |
| Sex (Male)     | 55.6% vs. 57.8% | 0.421   |
| Hypertension   | 40.0% vs. 35.6% | 0.189   |
| Diabetes       | 26.7% vs. 24.4% | 0.543   |
| Current Smoker | 22.2% vs. 20.0% | 0.621   |

| Basit A., et al. (2024). 4(1): DOI: https://doi.org/10.61919/ | and Rehabilitation HEART |         |
|---|--------------------------|---------|
| Characteristic  | Comparison               | p-value |
| Previous MI   | 13.3% vs. 15.6%          | 0.389   |
| Previous PCI  | 8.9% vs. 6.7%            | 0.265   |

Table 4: Multivariable Regression Analysis for D2B Time

| Variable               | Coefficient (95% CI) | p-value |
|------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Off-Hours Presentation | 11.8 (8.2- 15.5)     | <0.001  |
| Age (years)            | 0.3 (-0.1- 0.7)      | 0.096   |
| Sex (Male)             | -2.5 (-6.1- 1.1)     | 0.173   |
| Hypertension           | 5.7 (2.1-9.3)        | 0.002   |
| Diabetes               | 3.2 (0.5-5.9)        | 0.021   |
| Current Smoker         | 1.8 (-1.1- 4.7)      | 0.220   |

Table 5: Logistic Regression Analysis for Clinical Outcomes

| Outcome           | Odds Ratio (95% CI) | p-value |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Mortality         | 1.45 (0.87-2.41)    | 0.155   |
| Cardiogenic Shock | 1.26 (0.78-2.04)    | 0.351   |
| Heart Failure     | 1.32 (0.85-2.06)    | 0.214   |

Table 6: Angiography Findings and Clinical Outcomes

| Group                             | Angiography Findings | Lesion Characteristics | Coronary Wire Passage | Clinical Outcome |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
|                                   | Significant CAD      | Normal/Minimal Disease |                       | Passed Easily    |
| Off-Hours Presentation            | 35 (77.8%)           | 10 (22.2%)             | Majority in LAD       | 28 (62.2%)       |
| <b>Regular Hours Presentation</b> | 30 (66.7%)           | 15 (33.3%)             | Majority in LAD       | 32 (71.1%)       |

Clinical outcomes, including mortality rate, incidence of cardiogenic shock, and heart failure, were compared between the two groups. While the mortality rate was slightly lower in the off-hours group (4.4%) compared to the regular hours group (6.7%), and incidences of cardiogenic shock and heart failure followed a similar pattern, none of these differences were statistically significant, as illustrated in Table 5. This suggests that while off-hours presentation may lead to longer D2B times, it does not significantly affect short-term clinical outcomes within this study population.

Angiographic findings revealed that a greater proportion of off-hours patients had significant coronary artery disease (CAD) (77.8%) compared to those presenting during regular hours (66.7%), with the majority of lesions located in the left anterior descending (LAD) artery for both groups. Despite this, the clinical outcome as measured by the rate of adverse outcomes (17.8% for off-hours vs. 11.1% for regular hours) did not significantly differ between the two groups, suggesting that the complexity of coronary lesions did not translate into worse clinical outcomes for patients presenting off-hours (Table 6).

### DISCUSSION

In our study, we examined the effects of off-hours presentation on door-to-balloon (D2B) time and clinical outcomes in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) following ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). Our findings contribute to the understanding of how the timing of hospital presentation influences the management and outcomes of acute STEMI, corroborating with existing studies that have reported longer D2B times for patients presenting during off-hours compared to those during regular operational hours (10,11). The observed delays in reperfusion therapy initiation during off-hours have been attributed to a range of factors, including the limited availability of staff, specialized personnel, and logistical challenges associated with mobilizing the cardiac catheterization team (12,13).

While our analysis revealed no statistically significant difference in mortality rates or the incidence of complications such as cardiogenic shock and heart failure between off-hours and regular hours presentations, there was a discernible trend towards higher rates of adverse events among the off-hours group. This aligns with literature suggesting that patients presenting outside of standard hours may face heightened risks of adverse outcomes (14,15). The relationship between off-hours presentation and adverse clinical outcomes is likely multifaceted, potentially influenced not only by the delays in reperfusion therapy but also by variations in care delivery, including initial triage, diagnostic processes, and post-procedural care, alongside patient-specific factors like comorbidities, disease severity, and the timing of symptom onset relative to hospital presentation (16,17,18,19).



Addressing the impact of off-hours presentation on the outcomes of STEMI care necessitates a comprehensive strategy. This includes optimizing pre-hospital procedures, enhancing the allocation of resources, and bolstering coordination among healthcare providers to ensure that the quality of care is consistent regardless of presentation time (20). Furthermore, public health initiatives aimed at raising awareness about STEMI symptoms, fostering early recognition, and encouraging prompt engagement with emergency medical services could play a vital role in minimizing delays in accessing care (21).

This study's strength lies in its systematic examination of the association between off-hours presentation, D2B time, and clinical outcomes in a well-defined cohort of STEMI patients. However, it is not without limitations. The retrospective design and the reliance on electronic medical records may have introduced selection and information biases. Furthermore, the single-center nature of the study might limit the generalizability of our findings to other settings or populations. Future research could benefit from multicenter designs, incorporating larger, more diverse patient populations to enhance the robustness and applicability of the findings.

# **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, while off-hours presentation is associated with longer D2B times, our study did not demonstrate a significant impact on short-term mortality or the incidence of major complications. These findings highlight the importance of ongoing efforts to optimize care delivery for STEMI patients, irrespective of the time of presentation. Enhancing system-wide responses to acute STEMI presentations during off-hours remains a critical challenge that requires concerted efforts across the spectrum of emergency and cardiovascular care services.

### REFERENCES

1. Sabatine MS. ST-elevation myocardial infarction: Treatment and prognosis. UpToDate. 2021. Available from: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/st-elevation-myocardial-infarction-treatment-and-prognosis

2. Rathore SS, Curtis JP, Chen J. Association of door-to-balloon time and mortality in patients admitted to hospital with ST elevation myocardial infarction: National cohort study. BMJ. 2009;338:b1807.

3. McNamara RL, Wang Y, Herrin J, et al. Effect of door-to-balloon time on mortality in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006;47(11):2180–6.

4. O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2013;127(4):e362–e425.

5. Kushner FG, Hand M, Smith SC, et al. 2009 focused updates: ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with STelevation myocardial infarction (updating the 2004 guideline and 2007 focused update) and ACC/AHA/SCAI guidelines on percutaneous coronary intervention (updating the 2005 guideline and 2007 focused update). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;54(23):2205– 41.

6. Bradley EH, Herrin J, Wang Y, et al. Door-to-drug and door-to-balloon times: Where can we improve? Time to reperfusion therapy in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). Am Heart J. 2006;151(6):1281–7.

7. Magid DJ, Wang Y, Herrin J, et al. Relationship between time of day, day of week, timeliness of reperfusion, and in-hospital mortality for patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. JAMA. 2005;294(7):803–12.

8. Menees DS, Peterson ED, Wang Y, et al. Door-to-balloon time and mortality among patients undergoing primary PCI. N Engl J Med. 2011;364(10):905–14.

9. Ting HH, Rihal CS, Gersh BJ, et al. Regional systems of care to optimize timeliness of reperfusion therapy for ST-elevation myocardial infarction: The Mayo Clinic STEMI Protocol. Circulation. 2007;116(7):729–36.

10. Genç Ö, Yıldırım A, Kurt İH, Kılıçkap M, Erol MK, Kayıkçıoğlu M, TURKMI Study Group. Relationship Between Work Mode, Time of Admission, and Mortality in St-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction: Results From the TURKMI Registry. Angiology. 2023 Jul;74(6):553-62.

11. Bajaj S, Parikh R, Gupta N, Aldehneh A, Rosenberg M, Hamdan A, Bikkina M. "Code STEMI" protocol helps in achieving reduced door-to-balloon times in patients presenting with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction during off-hours. The Journal of emergency medicine. 2012 Mar 1;42(3):260-6.

12. Lattuca B, Kerneis M, Saib A, Nguyen LS, Payot L, Barthelemy O, Le Feuvre C, Helft G, Choussat R, Collet JP, Montalescot G. On-versus off-hours presentation and mortality of ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction patients treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Cardiovascular Interventions. 2019 Nov 25;12(22):2260-8.



13. Kandola D. Access to cardiac catheterization services in a rural-urban setting in northern British Columbia: Examining the impact of time-delay to PCI on patient outcomes and whether the sickest go the quickest. University of Northern British Columbia; 2014.

14. Sorita A, Ahmed A, Starr SR, Thompson KM, Reed DA, Prokop L, Shah ND, Murad MH, Ting HH. Off-hour presentation and outcomes in patients with acute myocardial infarction: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2014 Jan 21;348.

15. Ono Y, Ishida T, Iwasaki Y, Kawakami Y, Inokuchi R, Tase C, Shinohara K. The off-hour effect on trauma patients requiring subspecialty intervention at a community hospital in Japan: a retrospective cohort study. Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine. 2015 Dec;23:1-2.

16. Shavadia JS, Chen AY, Fanaroff AC, de Lemos JA, Kontos MC, Wang TY. Intensive care utilization in stable patients with STsegment elevation myocardial infarction treated with rapid reperfusion. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions. 2019 Apr 22;12(8):709-17.

17. Barbato E, Gallinoro E, Abdel-Wahab M, Andreini D, Carrié D, Di Mario C, Dudek D, Escaned J, Fajadet J, Guagliumi G, Hill J. Management strategies for heavily calcified coronary stenoses: an EAPCI clinical consensus statement in collaboration with the EURO4C-PCR group. European Heart Journal. 2023 Nov 1;44(41):4340-56.

18. Hammad TA, Parikh M, Tashtish N, Lowry CM, Gorbey D, Forouzandeh F, Filby SJ, Wolf WM, Costa MA, Simon DJ, Shishehbor MH. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on ST-elevation myocardial infarction in a non-COVID-19 epicenter. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions. 2021 Feb 1;97(2):208-14.

19. Chen KC, Yin WH, Young MS, Wei J. In-hospital tele-ECG triage and interventional cardiologist activation of the infarct team for STEMI patients is associated with improved late clinical outcomes. Acta Cardiologica Sinica. 2016 Jul;32(4):428.

20. Furmento J, CANDIELLOMTSAC A, Máscolo P, Lamelas P, Chapman I, Sigal A, TRIVIMTSAC M, BELARDIMTSAC J, COSTABELMTSAC JP. Optimization of Door-to-Balloon Time Implementing a Process Improvement Program. Results after 5 Years. Revista Argentina de Cardiología. 2023 Jun;91(2):218-22.

21. Alrawashdeh A, Nehme Z, Williams B, Smith K, Stephenson M, Bernard S, Bray J, Stub D. Factors influencing patient decision delay in activation of emergency medical services for suspected ST-elevation myocardial infarction. European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing. 2021 Mar 1;20(3):243-51.