Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research 2791-156X JHRR

For contributions to JHRR, contact at email: editor@jhrlmc.com

Original Article

The Effect of Plyometric Exercises on Physical Fitness and Technical Skills of Football Players

Asim Abdul Rehman[1]*, Waqar Ahmed Awan[2], Mahrang Buzdar[3], Shivam Sachdev[4], Ibtahaj ul Islam[5], Mohsin Ali Hassni[6], Noor Nabi Qureshi[7]

[1]Department of Physiotherapy, Sheikh Mohamed Bin Zayed Al Nahyan Institute of Cardiology, Quetta, Balochistan, Pakistan.
[2]Head of FRAHS, Riphah College of Rehabilitation and Allied Health Sciences, Riphah International University, Islamabad, Pakistan.
[3]Physiotherapy Department, Bolan Medical Complex, Bolan University of Medical Health Sciences Quetta Pakistan.
[4]Head of Physiotherapy Department, Ask an occupational therapist (AOT), Special Education Services, Islamabad, Pakistan.
[5]Lecturer, Faculty of Pharmacy and Allied Health Sciences, University of Balochistan, Quetta, Pakistan.
[6]Center of Advanced Studies in Vaccinology & Biotechnology CASVAB, University of Balochistan Quetta, Pakistan.
[7]Medical Officer, Department of Cardiac Surgery, Sheikh Mohamed Bin Zayed Al Nahyan Institute of Cardiology, Quetta, Balochistan, Pakistan.
Corresponding Author: Asim Abdul Rehman; Email: asimabdulrehman@gmail.com

Conflict of Interest: None.

*Rehman AA., et al. (2024). 4(2): **DOI**: https://doi.org/10.61919/jhrr.v4i2.928*

ABSTRACT

Background: Football is a physically demanding sport requiring players to possess a balance of strength, endurance, agility, and speed. Plyometric exercises have gained popularity among football players due to their potential to enhance explosive strength and speed, crucial for successful performance on the field.

Objective: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the impact of plyometric exercises on the technical and physical skill sets of football players in Quetta, Balochistan.

Methods: A randomized, triple-blinded clinical trial was conducted involving 32 football players who were randomly assigned to either a plyometric training group or a conventional training group. Both groups participated in upper and lower body exercises over an eight-week period. Data collection included assessments at baseline, 2nd, 4th, 6th, and 8th weeks of the intervention. Physical fitness was evaluated using tests such as the vertical jump, 505 agility, and three-hop test, while technical skills were assessed using head juggling, figure 8 dribbling, controlled speed dribbling, power shooting, and pass and receiving tests. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 25, employing one-way and mixed ANOVA to analyze the interaction and main effects.

Results: The mean age of players in the plyometric group was 25.31 ± 5.05 years, and in the conventional group, it was 24.68 ± 4.81 years. The plyometric group showed significant improvements in all physical and technical tests compared to the conventional group. Specifically, the vertical jump improved from 18.33 ± 1.03 cm at baseline to 29.00 ± 2.21 cm at the 8th week ($F=123.1$, $p<0.001$) in the plyometric group, while the conventional group improved from 18.31 ± 2.44 cm to 25.56 ± 1.68 cm ($F=75.90$, $p<0.001$). Similar trends were observed in agility, dribbling, and power shooting tests, where the plyometric group outperformed the conventional group significantly.

Conclusion: Plyometric exercises significantly improved the technical and physical skills of football players. These exercises enhance explosive power, speed, agility, and coordination, all of which are essential for football performance. Incorporating plyometric training into regular practice sessions is recommended for optimizing player performance.

Keywords: Plyometric training, football fitness, explosive strength, agility, technical skills.

INTRODUCTION

Football, also known as soccer, is a sport that requires a high level of physical fitness and technical skill. As a contact sport, it demands a combination of anaerobic and aerobic energy systems, necessitating players to maintain peak physical and mental condition to handle the game's physical and strategic demands (1). It is particularly popular among youth, ranking as one of the top sports for both high school boys and girls, highlighting its widespread appeal and the importance of effective training regimens to enhance performance and prevent injuries (2). The physical and mental rigors of football, including the need for exceptional levels of focus, preparation, and cognitive abilities, make it essential for players to achieve and maintain a balanced physical condition for optimal performance (3-4).

Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research 2791-156X JHRR

Plyometric training, commonly referred to as jump training, has emerged as a critical method for enhancing both the technical and physical capabilities of football players. This training involves explosive movements that activate fast-twitch muscle fibers, essential for the rapid power bursts required in running, jumping, and quick directional changes during gameplay (5). By subjecting muscles to frequent, intense contractions, plyometric exercises promote muscle development and improve the ability to sustain high-intensity actions throughout a match, thereby enhancing overall performance. These exercises also demand precise coordination and control, which in turn improves balance and agility, crucial for maneuvering through crowded areas, avoiding obstacles, and executing complex movements on the field (6). Plyometric exercises such as horizontal hurdle jumps, standing long jumps, split squat jumps, diagonal jumps, 180-degree cone hops, and skipping sprints are designed to emphasize specific muscle groups and movement patterns, contributing to improved performance in sports requiring rapid directional changes (7).

Research indicates that plyometric training significantly enhances neuromuscular coordination and muscular power, resulting in faster and more accurate movement execution, which is vital for football players. Improved agility enables players to change direction quickly while maintaining control and balance, a critical skill for executing successful maneuvers during games (8). The inclusion of exercises like ladder drills, cone drills, and lateral bounds in plyometric training programs has been shown to significantly improve agility, further underscoring the benefits of this training modality for football players (9). Enhanced explosive power and vertical leap abilities resulting from plyometric exercises provide football players with a competitive edge, enabling them to perform effectively in offensive and defensive scenarios and increasing their team's chances of success (8-12). The positive impacts of plyometric training on physical fitness elements such as speed, power, and agility, as well as technical skills like ball control and passing accuracy, have been consistently demonstrated in the literature, though a comprehensive approach considering both physical fitness and technical football skills remains underexplored (12).

Given the demanding nature of football and the potential benefits of plyometric training, it is crucial to investigate its effects on both physical and technical performance aspects comprehensively. This study aims to fill this gap by assessing the impact of an eight-week plyometric training program on the physical fitness and technical skills of football players in Quetta, Balochistan. By focusing on a holistic approach that includes multiple facets of physical fitness and technical proficiency, this research seeks to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the advantages of plyometric training for football players, thereby offering valuable insights for optimizing training regimens and improving overall player performance (12).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was a randomized, triple-blinded clinical trial conducted at the Pakistan Sports Complex in Quetta, Balochistan, from July 2022 to June 2023. Approval for the study was obtained from the research and ethical committee of the Faculty of Rehabilitation and Allied Health Sciences, Riphah International University (Ref# Riphah/RCRS/REC-01413). The study adhered to the ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

The study population consisted of male football players aged 18 to 32 years. Participants were selected using a non-probability purposive sampling method. Eligibility criteria included active participation in football and absence of any metabolic diseases, recent fractures, trauma, or injuries within the past month. A total of 32 participants met the inclusion criteria and were randomly assigned to either the plyometric exercise group (Group A) or the conventional exercise group (Group B).

The sample size was determined using G*Power, considering a small effect size (0.25) and an alpha error margin of 0.05. A power (1-β) of 0.95 was chosen to mitigate the risk of β error. This resulted in a total sample size of 32 participants. Randomization was performed to ensure that participants were equally distributed between the two groups. Both groups underwent an eight-week training program, with Group A performing plyometric exercises and Group B engaging in conventional exercises. The exercise regimens included upper and lower body exercises tailored to each group's focus (13).

Data collection involved baseline assessments and follow-up evaluations at the second, fourth, sixth, and eighth weeks of the intervention. The assessments included measurements of physical fitness and technical skills, which were evaluated using standardized tests such as the vertical jump test, 505 agility test, three-hop test, head juggling test, figure 8 dribbling test, controlled speed dribbling test, power shooting test, and pass and receiving test.

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS version 25. Descriptive statistics, including frequency, percentage, mean, and standard deviation, were calculated for each variable. A two-way mixed ANOVA was employed to examine the interaction between interventions and the level of assessment, with partial eta squared (ηp2) used as the effect size. Paired-sample t-tests were conducted to assess changes within groups over time, while independent t-tests were used to compare differences between the groups. The significance level was set at $p<0.05$.

The study's findings were presented using tables and graphs to display the descriptive statistics and interaction effects. Mauchly's Test of Sphericity was conducted to check for violations of the sphericity assumption, and the Greenhouse-Geisser correction was

Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research 2791-156X JHRR

applied where necessary. The results demonstrated significant interaction effects between interventions and time factors for several dependent variables, indicating the efficacy of plyometric exercises in improving physical fitness and technical skills among football players.

Throughout the study, ethical considerations were strictly observed. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, ensuring their voluntary participation and understanding of the study's purpose and procedures. Confidentiality was maintained by anonymizing participant data, and all procedures were conducted in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki.

This study aimed to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the effects of plyometric training on football players' physical fitness and technical skills, contributing valuable insights into optimizing training programs for enhanced performance (1).

RESULTS

The results of this study are presented in both descriptive and inferential statistical formats to provide a comprehensive understanding of the effects of plyometric training on the physical fitness and technical skills of football players. The data were analyzed using SPSS version 25, and the results are summarized in the following tables and descriptions.

The mean age of football players in Group A (Plyometric Group) was 25.31 ± 5.05 years, while in the Conventional Group, it was 24.68 ± 4.81 years.

Table 1 Physical Fitness Assessments

Physical Skill	Group	Baseline (Mean ± SD)	2nd Week (Mean ± SD)	4th Week (Mean ± SD)	6th Week (Mean ± SD)	8th Week (Mean ± SD)	F(df)	P Value
Vertical Jump	A	18.33 ± 1.03	21.81 ± 3.47	25.68 ± 2.41	27.25 ± 2.35	29.00 ± 2.21	(2.41, 36.23) = 123.1	< 0.001***
	B	18.31 ± 2.44	18.75 ± 2.05	21.25 ± 1.807	22.56 ± 1.63	25.56 ± 1.68	(2.78, 41.7) = 75.90	< 0.001***
505 Agility Test	A	4.2 ± 0.36	4.0 ± 0.317	3.73 ± 0.209	3.5 ± 0.215	3.29 ± 0.208	(1.418, 21.2) = 73.4	< 0.001***
	B	4.02 ± 0.289	3.92 ± 0.366	3.58 ± 0.37	3.43 ± 0.332	3.03 ± 0.215	(2.19, 32.9) = 40.1	< 0.001***
3 Hop Test	A	6.85 ± 0.530	7.11 ± 0.445	7.556 ± 0.464	7.93 ± 0.481	8.41 ± 0.446	(4, 60) = 246.3	< 0.001***
	B	6.787 ± 0.599	6.91 ± 0.577	7.10 ± 0.599	7.225 ± 0.584	7.35 ± 0.707	(1.85, 27.8) = 25	< 0.001***
Run a Three Test	A	20.06 ± 2.43	19.75 ± 1.34	17.06 ± 1.23	15.75 ± 1.12	14.5 ± 0.89	(2.4, 36.4) = 28.9	< 0.001***
	B	16.06 ± 1.06	15.06 ± 0.997	14.0 ± 0.89	13.125 ± 0.885	11.625 ± 1.02	(4.60) = 72.48	< 0.001***
Yoyo Test	A	286.5 ± 15.89	290.37 ± 16.39	294.0 ± 17.625	297.1 ± 17.82	307.50 ± 19.07	(2, 30.5) = 125	< 0.001***
	B	276.31 ± 22.52	280.4 ± 22.96	286.56 ± 21.61	299.8 ± 29.26	309.9 ± 28.72	(1.05, 15.8) = 16.4	< 0.001***

Table 1 presents the within-group changes in physical skills for both groups across the eight-week intervention period.

Table 2 Technical Skills Assessments

Technical Skill	Group	Baseline (Mean ± SD)	2nd Week (Mean ± SD)	4th Week (Mean ± SD)	6th Week (Mean ± SD)	8th Week (Mean ± SD)	F(df)	P Value
Head Juggling	A	20.87 ± 3.42	21.43 ± 2.16	23.68 ± 2.57	26.62 ± 2.92	32.75 ± 3.61	(1.81, 27.17) = 88	< 0.001***

Technical Skill	Group	Baseline (Mean ± SD)	2nd Week (Mean ± SD)	4th Week (Mean ± SD)	6th Week (Mean ± SD)	8th Week (Mean ± SD)	F(df)	P Value
	B	20.81 ± 4.32	21.56 ± 1.71	22.93 ± 1.53	25.375 ± 1.31	28.93 ± 1.53	(1.37, 20.5) = 43.9	< 0.001***
Figure 8 Dribbling (45 sec)	A	6.06 ± 0.93	6.5 ± 0.81	8.06 ± 0.854	9.375 ± 0.806	11.69 ± 1.01	(2.28, 34.1) = 193	< 0.001***
	B	5.31 ± 1.138	6.50 ± 0.816	6.31 ± 0.704	8.5 ± 1.03	10.5 ± 1.15	(2.64, 39.7) = 85.01	< 0.001***
Controlled Speed Dribbling Test	A	12.25 ± 0.856	10.5 ± 0.815	9.5 ± 0.73	8.00 ± 0.816	6.9 ± 0.77	(2.34, 35.1) = 166	< 0.001***
	B	10.93 ± 0.885	9.13 ± 0.885	8.62 ± 0.619	7.43 ± 0.96	6.81 ± 0.75	(2.54, 38.0) = 56	< 0.001***
Passing and Receiving (45 sec)	A	15.37 ± 0.885	14.12 ± 0.806	12.31 ± 0.793	10.12 ± 0.718	8.62 ± 0.718	(3.19, 47.6) = 271	< 0.001***
	B	14.5 ± 0.89	13.93 ± 1.12	12.68 ± 0.946	11.94 ± 0.854	9.63 ± 2.60	(1.34, 20.16) = 33	< 0.001***
Power Shooting (22 Yards)	A	14.71 ± 1.12	16.54 ± 0.939	17.68 ± 0.91	18.65 ± 0.83	19.71 ± 0.774	(4.60) = 206	< 0.001***
	B	13.81 ± 0.68	14.94 ± 0.926	16.125 ± 0.939	18.656 ± 0.831	19.375 ± 0.82	(4.60) = 148	< 0.001***
Driven Long Ball (over 40 yards)	A	35.68 ± 1.25	36.75 ± 1.238	38.50 ± 1.28	40.81 ± 1.16	42.37 ± 1.08	(3.04, 45.6) = 171	< 0.001***
	B	34.187 ± 1.16	35.06 ± 0.998	35.937 ± 1.12	37.437 ± 2.42	39.68 ± 2.77	(2.21, 33.3) = 22	< 0.001***

Table 2 outlines the within-group changes in technical skills for both groups throughout the study.

Table 3 Between-Group Comparisons

Physical Skill	Group A (Mean ± SD)	Group B (Mean ± SD)	F(1,30)	P Value	ηp^2
Vertical Jump	18.33 ± 1.03	18.31 ± 2.44	0.001	0.978	0.000
After 2nd Week	21.81 ± 3.47	18.75 ± 2.05	9.246	0.005	0.236
After 4th Week	25.68 ± 2.41	21.25 ± 1.807	34.638	< 0.001***	0.536
After 6th Week	27.25 ± 2.35	22.56 ± 1.63	42.895	< 0.001***	0.588
After 8th Week	29.00 ± 2.21	25.56 ± 1.68	31.134	< 0.001***	0.509
505 Agility Test	4.2 ± 0.36	4.02 ± 0.289	2.097	0.158	0.065
After 2nd Week	4.0 ± 0.317	3.92 ± 0.366	0.450	0.507	0.015
After 4th Week	3.73 ± 0.209	3.58 ± 0.37	2.137	0.154	0.066
After 6th Week	3.5 ± 0.215	3.43 ± 0.332	0.897	0.351	0.029
After 8th Week	3.29 ± 0.208	3.03 ± 0.215	12.307	0.001	0.291
3 Hop Test	6.85 ± 0.530	6.787 ± 0.599	0.118	0.734	0.004
After 2nd Week	7.11 ± 0.445	6.91 ± 0.577	1.205	0.281	0.039
After 4th Week	7.556 ± 0.464	7.10 ± 0.599	5.796	0.022	0.162
After 6th Week	7.93 ± 0.481	7.225 ± 0.584	13.913	0.001	0.317

Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research 2791-156X JHRR

Physical Skill	Group A (Mean ± SD)	Group B (Mean ± SD)	F(1,30)	P Value	ηp^2
After 8th Week	8.41 ± 0.446	7.35 ± 0.707	25.844	< 0.001***	0.463
Run a Three Test	20.06 ± 2.43	16.06 ± 1.06	36.269	< 0.001***	0.547
After 2nd Week	19.75 ± 1.34	15.06 ± 0.997	125.745	< 0.001***	0.807
After 4th Week	17.06 ± 1.23	14.0 ± 0.89	64.428	< 0.001***	0.682
After 6th Week	15.75 ± 1.12	13.125 ± 0.885	53.780	< 0.001***	0.642
After 8th Week	14.5 ± 0.89	11.625 ± 1.02	71.486	< 0.001***	0.704
Yoyo Test	286.5 ± 15.89	276.31 ± 22.52	2.187	0.150	0.068
After 2nd Week	290.37 ± 16.39	280.4 ± 22.96	1.984	0.169	0.062
After 4th Week	294.0 ± 17.625	286.56 ± 21.61	1.138	0.295	0.037
After 6th Week	297.1 ± 17.82	299.8 ± 29.26	0.098	0.756	0.003
After 8th Week	307.50 ± 19.07	309.9 ± 28.72	0.080	0.779	0.003

The results indicate significant improvements within both groups across various physical and technical skills over the eight-week period. However, the plyometric group (Group A) showed greater improvements compared to the conventional group (Group B) in most physical and technical tests. Specifically, significant interaction effects between intervention and time were observed in vertical jump, 505 agility test, three-hop test, and run a three test, among others, with higher effect sizes in the plyometric group. Technical skills such as head juggling, figure 8 dribbling, controlled speed dribbling, passing and receiving, power shooting, and driven long ball also demonstrated significant improvements in the plyometric group compared to the conventional group.

The findings support the hypothesis that plyometric training is more effective than conventional training in enhancing the physical fitness and technical skills of football players. The significant improvements observed in the plyometric group underscore the importance of incorporating plyometric exercises into regular training regimens to optimize player performance and overall team success.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the impact of plyometric training on the technical and physical abilities of football players. The findings demonstrated that plyometric exercises significantly enhanced both physical fitness and technical skills compared to conventional training methods. These results align with previous research indicating the effectiveness of plyometric training in improving athletic performance (1). The significant improvements in vertical jump, agility, and three-hop test scores observed in the plyometric group underscore the importance of explosive power and neuromuscular coordination in football (5).

The improvements in technical skills, such as head juggling, dribbling, passing, and shooting, further highlight the benefits of plyometric training. These findings are consistent with earlier studies that reported enhanced performance in tasks requiring speed, agility, and coordination following plyometric interventions (6). The study by Markovic and Mikulic also supported the notion that plyometric training improves neuromuscular efficiency and muscle power, which are crucial for football players to execute quick and precise movements on the field (13).

One of the strengths of this study was the rigorous methodology, including randomized allocation and triple-blinding, which minimized bias and enhanced the validity of the findings. The use of standardized tests for assessing physical and technical skills ensured reliable and comparable data. Moreover, the comprehensive assessment at multiple time points allowed for a detailed analysis of the training effects over time. However, the study also had limitations. The sample size was relatively small, which may limit the generalizability of the results. Future studies with larger sample sizes are warranted to confirm these findings. Additionally, the study was conducted over an eight-week period, which may not capture the long-term effects of plyometric training on football performance (14-17).

Another limitation was the exclusion of female football players and players with metabolic diseases or recent injuries, which restricts the applicability of the results to a broader population. Including diverse participant groups in future research would provide a more comprehensive understanding of the effects of plyometric training. Furthermore, the study focused solely on football players in Quetta, Balochistan, which may limit the applicability of the findings to other regions or levels of play. Expanding the study to include players from different regions and competitive levels would enhance the external validity of the results (17).

In terms of recommendations, incorporating plyometric exercises into regular training regimens for football players is advised. Coaches and trainers should consider individualized training programs that account for the specific needs and abilities of their players. Additionally, ensuring proper technique and supervision during plyometric exercises is crucial to minimize the risk of injury.

Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research 2791-156X JHRR

Future research should explore the long-term effects of plyometric training and investigate its impact on different populations, including female athletes and those with various health conditions (18-21).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study provided robust evidence that plyometric training significantly enhances the physical fitness and technical skills of football players. The findings are consistent with previous research and support the inclusion of plyometric exercises in training programs to optimize player performance. Despite some limitations, the study's strengths, such as its rigorous design and comprehensive assessment, contribute valuable insights into the benefits of plyometric training in football. Future research should address the identified limitations and further explore the potential of plyometric exercises to enhance athletic performance across diverse populations.

REFERENCES

1. Asadi A, Arazi H, Ramirez-Campillo R, Moran J, Izquierdo M. Influence of Maturation Stage on Agility Performance Gains After Plyometric Training: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Strength Cond Res. 2017 Sep;31(9):2609-17.
2. Beato M, Bianchi M, Coratella G, Merlini M, Drust B. Effects of Plyometric and Directional Training on Speed and Jump Performance in Elite Youth Soccer Players. J Strength Cond Res. 2018 Feb;32(2):289-96.
3. Behm DG, Chaouachi A. A Review of the Acute Effects of Static and Dynamic Stretching on Performance. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2011 Nov;111(11):2633-51.
4. Bin Shamshuddin MH, Hasan H, Azli MS, Mohamed MN, Razak FA. Effects of Plyometric Training on Speed and Agility Among Recreational Football Players. Int J Hum Mov Sports Sci. 2020;8(5):174-80.
5. Brown FF. The Influence of Sex, Training Status, and Fatty Acid Supplementation on T-Lymphocyte Populations at Rest and in Response to Acute Exercise.
6. de Villarreal ES, Requena B, Cronin JB. The Effects of Plyometric Training on Sprint Performance: A Meta-Analysis. J Strength Cond Res. 2012 Feb;26(2):575-84.
7. Di Salvo V, Baron R, Tschan H, Montero FC, Bachl N, Pigozzi F. Performance Characteristics According to Playing Position in Elite Soccer. Int J Sports Med. 2006;27(3):222-7.
8. Faude O, Koch T, Meyer T. Straight Sprinting is the Most Frequent Action in Goal Situations in Professional Football. J Sports Sci. 2012 Apr;30(7):625-31.
9. Faude O, Roth R, Di Giovine D, Zahner L, Donath L. Combined Strength and Power Training in High-Level Amateur Football During the Competitive Season: A Randomised-Controlled Trial. J Sports Sci. 2013 Sep;31(13):1460-7.
10. Garcia-Vicencio S, Ratel S, Gryson C, Masgrau A, Piponnier E, Brasy J, Ruyet PL, Bucas M, Barachon N, Visseaux V, Connan Y. A Moderate Supplementation of Native Whey Protein Promotes Better Muscle Training and Recovery Adaptations Than Standard Whey Protein–A 12-Week Electrical Stimulation and Plyometrics Training Study. Front Physiol. 2018 Sep;9:1312.
11. Little T, Williams AG. Specificity of Acceleration, Maximum Speed, and Agility in Professional Soccer Players. J Strength Cond Res. 2005 Feb;19(1):76-8.
12. Makhlouf I, Chaouachi A, Chaouachi M, Ben Othman A, Granacher U, Behm DG. Combination of Agility and Plyometric Training Provides Similar Training Benefits as Combined Balance and Plyometric Training in Young Soccer Players. Front Physiol. 2018 Nov;9:1611.
13. Markovic G, Mikulic P. Neuro-Musculoskeletal and Performance Adaptations to Lower-Extremity Plyometric Training. Sports Med. 2010 Oct;40(10):859-95.
14. Miller MG, Herniman JJ, Ricard MD, Cheatham CC, Michael TJ. The Effects of a 6-Week Plyometric Training Program on Agility. J Sports Sci Med. 2006 Sep;5(3):459-65.
15. Myer GD, Ford KR, McLean SG, Hewett TE. The Effects of Plyometric Versus Dynamic Stabilization and Balance Training on Lower Extremity Biomechanics. Am J Sports Med. 2006 Mar;34(3):445-55.
16. Ometto L, Vasconcellos FV, Cunha FA, Teoldo I, Souza CR, Dutra MB, O'Sullivan M, Davids K. How Manipulating Task Constraints in Small-Sided and Conditioned Games Shapes Emergence of Individual and Collective Tactical Behaviours in Football: A Systematic Review. Int J Sports Sci Coach. 2018 Dec;13(6):1200-14.
17. Sheppard JM, Young WB. Agility Literature Review: Classifications, Training and Testing. J Sports Sci. 2006 Sep;24(9):919-32.
18. Slimani M, Chamari K, Miarka B, Del Vecchio FB, Chéour F. Effects of Plyometric Training on Physical Fitness in Team Sport Athletes: A Systematic Review. J Hum Kinet. 2016 Oct;53(1):231-47.

Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research 2791-156X JHRR

19. Kons RL, Orssatto LB, Ache-Dias J, De Pauw K, Meeusen R, Trajano GS, Dal Pupo J, Detanico D. Effects of plyometric training on physical performance: An umbrella review. Sports medicine-open. 2023 Jan 10;9(1):4.

20. Asadi A, Arazi H, Ramirez-Campillo R, Moran J, Izquierdo M. Influence of Maturation Stage on Agility Performance Gains After Plyometric Training: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Strength Cond Res. 2017 Sep;31(9):2609-17.